

RESPONDENT #	Sisters Beach – Freedom Camping COMMENTS
R1	I fully support the proposal of a freedom camping site at Sister's Beach as long as it is managed according to the criteria above.
R2	Would be great, giving people a base to explore the beautiful area
R3	I don't wish to see freedom campers at Sisters Beach. I feel it will end up like Boat Harbour - people do the wrong thing and we will end up with campers shitting in the bush, it's just inevitable as it won't be policed.
R4	As a shack owner at Sisters, and someone who has parents who have freecamped in a campervan literally all over Australia, I am generally in favour as long as the local community's wishes are taken into account and there are limits on numbers.
R5	I think free camping should be totally allowed. I have been a resident/ratepayer for ■ years. It gives the area vibrancy and creates community and diversity not to mention business for the local shop and cafe.
R6	No thank you
R7	The previous reports indicate the Wynyard councils' consistent lack of action on matters relating to Sisters Beach. A community hall was knocked down (is that a positive action?) and now we have a "space" for freedom camping which adds little value to the community. The community hall refurbishment would have been great for the community wellbeing and spirit and could possibly have been managed by an organised group or the council and paid for itself. Why wasn't a feedback form sent out regarding a refurbishment of the community hall which was left in disrepair? A golden opportunity lost.
R8	I am a rate payer and live at Sisters Beach. I am totally against any form of camping in our community.
R9	I am not in favour of public camping in Sisters Beach. This will not improve our village - it will be an eyesore unless a lot of money is spent to provide an environmentally pleasing bushland park with each site screened by trees from the next and from the adjacent National Park.. Gradually our small village is losing trees losing its garden feel - it is becoming a stark place. Luckily we are surrounded by National Park, which must remain sacrosanct!
R10	Must be self contained caravan or motor homes , no tents, camper trailers, camper vans. Must have a pass from WOW.
R11	No, we are not in agreement with this. We do not think that Sisters Beach would be the place for freedom camping. Boat Harbour have shut it down so we don't think it should be moved to Sisters Beach. Another major concern to us is that the increased volumes of traffic is not suitable for our Road into Sisters.
R12	I live at Sisters Beach and I would say that if there were to be freedom camping at the recommended site behind the fire station it should only be available to a limited number of campers and only for a couple of nights at a time. This is provided that it did not interfere with the activities of the fire brigade volunteers. Definitely no tents and no camping near the beach.
R13	I support Freedom Camping in Sisters Beach AND Boat Harbour. Charge a fee and a Maximum stay to prevent over use.
R14	If the site can be managed and people/campers are responsible to ensure that environmental impact is minimal, and that there are strict time limits policed to ensure fair opportunity for all people to enjoy the area and amenities I see no problem with it. I think if I remember correctly that area used to be the refuse area for sisters beach.
R15	We are against any reintroduction of freedom camping at Sisters Beach. Approx ■ years ago, Council banned it because of overcrowding in public spaces ie the East Blvd park area, the inconsiderate behaviour of campers, caravans and mobile home owners and the traffic congestion that occurred along East Blvd all of which negatively impacted local residents. Council also recently banned it at Boat Harbour for what are probably similar reasons. Given that Council Open Space documents provide clear recommendations around freedom camping sites, which preclude public spaces, car parks, anywhere in residential areas, we cannot see how it can seriously be considered again. Also, given the experience on mainland Australia this past summer with bushfires and the

RESPONDENT #	Sisters Beach – Freedom Camping COMMENTS
	one road access to Sisters Beach, it would seem highly irresponsible to introduce to an at risk community. The question also needs to be asked as to who will manage the freedom campers, as we know from past experience that they can be a law unto themselves who disregard any impact their takeover of public space has on the local community.
R16	We are totally against allowing "Freedom Camping" at Sisters Beach for the following reasons; It would cause unnecessary congestion along Sisters Beach Rd by adding small to large vehicle's such as big caravans, motor homes, Winnebago's etc, not to mention the 4 wheel RV's that tow them. Imagine the gridlock in the event of emergency when the residences of the community are trying to evacuate. Who would police/manage the campers such as collecting fees, ensuring they don't over stay their time, making sure only the allocated sites are occupied, where would any overflow park, and ensure that the community toilet/change room facilities in the main park are not used by the campers. What infrastructure would need to be put in place such as concrete pads etc, where would their grey water be discharged , and who would pay? Sisters Beach as it is, is a quiet and tranquil community and does not need this type of activity If it is not good enough for Boat Harbour Beach why would it be suitable for Sisters Beach! "Don't it always seem to go but you don't know what you've got til it's gone, you pave paradise and put up a parking lot. Joni Mitchell"
R17	I don't support freedom camping at Sisters Beach at this time. Just some of my reasons include; - caravan parks, P&W camp grounds and tourism will need support now not free camping - there is no town plan or settlement structure plan for Sisters Beach, this should be done before any more major decisions like adding camping to the mix. - traffic issues (in town and Sisters Beach Rd), extra traffic, safety issues and increase roadkill of threatened species. - history of freedom camping in Boat Harbour. How will Sisters Beach be any different? I note that the intent is for the community to manage it but who will police it? Thanks
R18	As someone who enjoys camping but can only afford a tent rather than a motor home. I believe that tents should be allowed if this is to go ahead. The east coast has so much free camping and high number of tourists because of this. It would be great for the north west coast to have some more public camping sites.
R19	Make self contained. Vans & Motorhome ... definitely not like the Wyn show grounds
R20	no...too many people cruise way too fast down here already...why add more danger to our children and animals...and they will/do drive now looking for spots...more rubbish, dog poo round the place. How will policing occur, will there be a 24 hr custodian... I VOTE NO
R21	I believe it would of benefit to the sisters beach community as it will bring more visitors to the area which will create interest and will help financially support the local businesses such as the general store and Pear Tree Cafe.
R22	We would be very happy for campers to enjoy our area we feel the site behind the fire station would make a great camping ground
R23	Of course have camping SOMEWHERE! Bt harbour is dead now from no development mainly pushed from people that never grew up here. Let's get it happening at Sisters with all the facilities to make it modern, fee based, dump sites etc. So many families have vans, trailers and tents now so let's cater for them God knows families need time out these days.
R24	Fire Station site preferred Limited to 6 identified camping spaces Max 2 night stay Fee for up keeping area / private management Construction of a Refuse enclosure On site with waste and recycling bins,(so as not to overload existing public bins.) Managed as per current public areas.
R25	I have been a resident here for near ■ years and would hate for the influx of campers to take away the quite and relaxed feeling that is Sisters Beach. With camping at Rocky cape tavern and Stanley both within 1/2 and hour or so I don't believe it's necessary. Last time we had camping there were limited spaces but soon became out of control. My biggest concern is it will become the uncontrolled circus that was boat harbour. I think if it's done the permits would need collection from the council chambers in Wynyard. I believe if they were purchased here at Sisters people would just set up anywhere if the park was full. We have the odd camper here over the summer months and the mess is disgraceful. Although the idea of the community association running sounds great the facts are they all have young families and would not be able to run it. We had the

RESPONDENT #	Sisters Beach – Freedom Camping COMMENTS
	council ranger living here the last time and it still got out of control. Leave paradise as it is so a NO from me.
R26	I think it is wonderful to allow overnight motor homes. I used to love seeing them down at the creek and was very upset when all of sudden they were banned because of complaints from a couple of people. The creek is the perfect area for them and only ever on Boxing Day (when the weather has been good) and Australia Day have I ever seen the car park full so it was not correct that they were making it difficult for "locals" to park. A do agree that a couple of people made this area look untidy with washing hanging off bushes etc but the "bad" campers were a tiny tiny minority. I do not think the area being suggested is a nice area for freedom camping and personally I would not choose to use it if I was a traveler. I still think the car park at the creek is the perfect site and I live on this road so am one of the people directed impacted by this location.
R27	Yes should be allowed. Please watch these videos for alternative and professional insight as to why - https://youtu.be/k1trzdmwR2M https://youtu.be/1BiM1YYIPCo
R28	No Camping at Sisters Beach. This always brings too much rubbish and too many people.
R29	I would support the establishment of a well managed Freedom camping site for Self-contained vehicles at the suggested site (Honeysuckle Ave). The issues I am concerned about if it were to succeed are the effects of the camp-site and visitors on the adjacent area of National Park (i.e. perhaps an increased risk of bush-fires during summer) and secondly the increase in traffic from these larger vehicles during peak season (s) - especially while travelling into Sisters Beach through some of the steep and winding sections, by people who may be unfamiliar with the road (i.e. an increased risk of road accidents). The movement of Self-contained Camping vehicles may need to be restricted to accessing the Freedom camping site - and be discouraged from travelling around Sisters Beach unnecessarily. An alternative site may be the parking area at the boat-ramp.
R30	<p>I am in support of regulated camping at Sisters Beach. Long-term regulated camping is vital for the broader community and domestic tourism. I understand, however, the mixed feelings that camping brings to a community that hosts it. There needs to be clear protocols in place for campers to adhere to, for Sisters Beach residents to feel the positive far outweighs any potential negative. In 2018, I spent a lot of time accessing freedom camping and regulated camping around Tasmania and Australia. These ideas are applied learning of what works at a camp site, and how regulated camping could work at Sisters Beach.</p> <p>Site Management:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Volunteer Camp Hosts - min two weeks, max 4 weeks. Camping period: NOVEMBER 1 - APRIL 30 · Hosts to be self-contained and self-sufficient in motorhome/caravan. · Host arrangement needs to have minimum 2 adults, maximum 4 adults. · At least one host needs to be onsite from 4pm to 10am each night for site management. · Hosts need to be booked in advance. Roles need to be advertised on various platforms i.e. Facebook: Caravanning and Camping Tasmania. · Screening process to ensure volunteers are up to the task. Volunteer experience preferable. · Responsibilities include cleaning, regulation enforcement and patrol. Evening Patrols by Camp hosts through campsite and potential overflow areas along National Park road, Playground area, Boat Ramp. Camp hosts to be in liaison with Parks and Wildlife Services and Council should campers not follow the rules. · Volunteer hosts responsible for general campsite cleanliness. · Volunteer Hosts to give a daily snapshot to Council officer about how things are going. · Volunteer Hosts booklet: Responsibilities - 1x A4 page maximum, regulations, advice & tips, things to do around Wynyard (for them and promotion to campers), discount coupons to local attractions for the hosts (subsidised by Council - to keep volunteer hosts in good spirits), history and profile of municipality. Make the hosts temporary locals! · Council retains the ability to dismiss hosts if they're not performing their role suitably. <p>Waste disposal & Water provision:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Dump point on site is perfect.

RESPONDENT #	Sisters Beach – Freedom Camping COMMENTS
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Also needed are strategically located drains for grey water disposal around the camp/ with NO taps. · Water taps everywhere need to be labelled NON POTABLE/ NON DRINKING Water. Wherever there is a tap, campers will find it and obtain water for it. Council needs to mitigate risk if the water quality is not deemed safe. · Provide a single bin in a discrete location. Camp hosts to advise campers where this bin is and keep their campsite as clean as they found it. · <i>Self-contained?</i> This pushes out campers on a low budget with a limited camping set up. Tents and vans that are not self-contained should be allowed. The toilet provision outlined below and drains for greywater disposal into the local sewerage system should cater for all campers, regardless of economic status. <p>Toilet:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Two cubicle toilet (*1 all abilities) with sinks and taps - those desperate will take a walk down to the day use only public toilets. As a consequence, these need checking more frequently. · Not providing toilets at the camp site will mean campers digging holes in the bush or using the day use only area with higher frequency. Even 1 toilet is better than nothing. This represents the primary costs that Council will have to consider. · Camp hosts to regularly check toilet paper supply and replace as necessary. <p>Fires:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · No open fires, it is right next to a national park and residential area, and residents (as BHB residents did) will complain that they are taking timber and sticks from the bush. · Campers desperate to have a fire can go down on the beach where there is limited risk of a bushfire. <p>Community involvement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Community Information Network · Community can patrol potential overflow hotspots and photograph vehicles - e.g. Sisters beach east along NP Road, Playground/Beach access area, Boat Ramp. Information should be relayed directly to volunteer hosts, not to Council. If the problem is ongoing / undealt with, community members should then make a complaint to Council staff. · Communicate clearly the role of the Volunteer Hosts to the community, so that concerned community members can also keep the hosts accountable to their important role. · Camp hosts details -background and contact number supplied to the Sisters Beach Group - Facebook & community association newsletter. · Community encouraged to go & chat with campers and share stories. <p>Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Council need to visit Sisters Beach every 2 or 3 days during business hours. Check toilets, Bins, signage, etc. · There needs to be a council officer who can take complaints and follow them up after hours - i.e. 5pm to 8pm. If complaints are not taken seriously, the community will lose faith in public camping. · Keep toilet paper supplied to camp hosts. <p>Number of sites:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Yes, clearly defined sites, and volunteer hosts to provide patrol to ensure campers maintain their space. · Other camping options' brochure provided to late comers who cannot find a camping spot, to reduce risk of camper going somewhere else in the village. · No online booking, just first come, first served. Could have a separate Facebook page for daily updates on capacity and a place for campers to receive instant messages. Council to set up and to give volunteer host permission to post pictures, respond to comments and messages. <p>Length of Stay:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 2 nights, 48hrs maximum.

RESPONDENT #	Sisters Beach – Freedom Camping COMMENTS
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Longer stays would be nice for the public and tourists, but residents may have a problem in the future. The turn over ensures the spaces are freed up for incoming tourists who will want to spend a day to two there and then move on. <p>Pets:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Council discretion based on community feedback. <p>Fees:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · This is tricky to manage - possibly introduce in the future, but my suggestion is not in the beginning. · Council could situate a donation box for the site, near volunteer hosts camp, with a noticeboard to the paid goods and services available in Sisters Beach - i.e. guided walks, fishing charters, bottle-shop, café, pop-up shops, yoga, etc. to encourage tourists to spend money in the local economy and to invite potential tourist experiences to be developed in the area. · There could be a deposit system where if their camp is clean, the camper's \$10-\$20 deposit is returned. This would encourage a more formal check in-check out process, and support a positive economic relationship between hosts and campers. If camp isn't clean, I highly doubt campers are going to risk embarrassment arguing it is clean when it is not, and simply leave without their deposit.
R31	<p>I am tentatively supportive of a freedom camp site behind the Sisters Beach Fire Station, provided there are sound management guidelines in place. Perhaps this could initially be trialed over a two-year period and re-assessed. One concern I have is that if campers come to Sisters Beach hoping to stay at the site only to find it is full, they may decide to camp at an undesignated site at the creek parking lot or inside the national park area. Some campers already do this during the summer season. How would this be managed under the proposed plan? Sisters Beach continues to gain popularity as a tourist destination. We should emphasize that visitors have a responsibility to appreciate and preserve the natural beauty, while maintaining minimal impact on the environment in terms of rubbish, noise, pollution, and disturbance to native animals.</p>
R32	<p>Maybe a model that has multiple small sites may be a solution? such a proposal may look like this; front of boat ramp carpark, 2/3 sites for non self contained and 2 for self contained. area adjacent to toilet block at beach carpark (where storm drain pipe runs) could be developed to contain 4 sites for non self contained campers . 4 sites up near fire tanks above hardstand for self contained only. 4 to 6 sites for self contained only, spread around area between fire station and corner of Elfrida and Honeysuckle. Not sure who would be happy to camp next to a sewerage works? not my idea of a nice time. I can't understand why it would even be considered, maybe those that are should take a tent down there for the night and test out the "serenity".</p>
R33	<p>I would only support if this was ran by a commercial operator and there were termination clauses for council to undo if users didn't respect the area or local expectations or it wasn't managed well by the operator, the overflow when it is full is something that needs tight policing. As much as I would like to believe in the idea of a local group of volunteers to run it, we have no sporting club or willing group currently to man it adequately. Not sure a newly formed group would have the necessary ongoing resources, people do move or lose interest after a while, I'm sure there are many regulations to meet and not sure they would be able to police properly. There are quite a lot of people against the idea at Sisters Beach, I have concerns a full on debate will lead to negative comments towards others and cause divide against residents, something council need to approach carefully, lessons to learn from Boat Harbour maybe. That's why I believe the only way is a commercial operator and proper policing to appease some of the concerns from those against freedom camping at Sisters Beach.</p>
R34	<p>Telephone conversation - In favour of camping near the fire station as this is close to the dump point. 12-15 sites only. Possible SB Association to collect money. To be monitored through the SB Progress Association? Make the entrance one way in and the other way out through the old tip gate. Recommended we have a look at the Rubicon River camping ground set up.</p>
R35	<p>Great idea, ideal and suitable spot, has potential for the community to be involved and managed. Required.</p>

RESPONDENT #	Sisters Beach – Freedom Camping COMMENTS
R36	Yes, free camping should be available and: 1 the fenced area behind the Fire Station is an excellent site - around 12 marked parking sites 2 Maximum stay of 48hrs to enable campers to do some of the Park's walks, out by 14:00 3 \$6 a night would be commensurate with other unserviced free camps 4 Honesty Box money collection with envelopes showing site number, in/out dates and rego number 5 self-contained vehicles only 6 take away your own rubbish
R37	Sisters Beach is unique and privileged as a small community adjacent to the very special Rocky Cape National Park. I am completely opposed to camping at Sisters Beach for the following reasons: 1. Increased damage to National Park fauna and flora by camper owners and their pets/dogs (the locals are already ignoring the dog prohibition so what will it be like policing dogs in the shore bird breeding season?). Bandicoots, quolls and Tasmanian devils are already under threat locally. 2. Increased risk of fires in the NP over summer with heavy summer usage 3. Facilities such as sewerage and water systems will put stress on the local amenities 4. Local Management of the camping will be problematic and lead to abuse of the National Park. Happy to be part of a local response to this suggestion and I would like to know as a rate payer where the push for this facility came from.
R38	Great idea bring tourists into our community to spend money. As someone who has travelled around caravanning in Australia, I would recommend putting in a small playground at the camp venue to add to the attraction for family's. Also jamming a heap of sites into a small site is not the answer. Keep sites open and private.
R39	<p>I am opposed to opening Sisters Beach to public camping. I can see little or no value of public camping to the local community, and there are a significant number of issues that have not been addressed. These include no mechanism for regulating either the occupation of the sites or use of the sites. In the absence of clear mechanisms for regulation, abuses of site use are likely to occur, as has happened in the past. In addition, public camping will lead to increased pressure and impacts on an already fragile environment, including impacts on the National Park surrounding Sisters Beach. The risks of high intensity fires to public camping visitors have also not been identified, for visitors camped in heavy vehicles, on the edge of the park/forest, with a single long road through bushland in and out of the village.</p> <p>Details of My Response: Previously, campervan camping, with limited numbers, at Sisters Beach car park, in the past, was not successful. There was no easy way to regulate the number of people/vans at the site, some vans parked inappropriately (in some cases on grassed areas, removing bollards to allow themselves to do this) and there were instances of dumping of rubbish and toilet waste.</p> <p>Campervan camping recently at Boat Harbour, in circumstances not unlike that proposed for Sisters Beach, was also highly unsuccessful, and progressively led to more and more vans turning up, camping in inappropriate places, and in some cases dumping rubbish and sewerage illegally. Overall, Boat Harbour is probably in a better position to cater for 'freedom' camping by campervans, having bigger areas to accommodate vans, toilet facilities and waste dumping. So if Boat Harbour has been unable to accommodate public camping, then Sisters Beach will be even less successful.</p> <p>There are factors at Sisters Beach that make it even less suitable than Boat Harbour for public camping. Sisters Beach is located at the end of a long drive through bush, including National Park, so there are additional factors regarding fire risk and impacts on wildlife that do not apply to Boat Harbour.</p> <p>Key issues that influence my view of public camping at Sisters Beach: 1. Regulation & Compliance of Site Occupancy: No mechanism has been identified for regulation of campervans parking in the proposed area. Regulation would need to include having sites that are clearly identified, with a booking system, so that people don't just drive in with an expectation of being able to camp, and then overflow into non-designated areas. There would need to be designated authority in instances where people are occupying sites booked by others – no</p>

RESPONDENT #	Sisters Beach – Freedom Camping COMMENTS
	<p>mechanism has been identified for what this authority is, or issue of penalties for those in breach of bookings. It is difficult to see how the small number of camping sites proposed could generate funds to pay someone to regulate the sites. Also no payment system has been proposed.</p> <p>2. Regulation and Compliance of Site Use: No mechanism has been identified for ensuring that sites are used as per rules of use, for disposal of waste (bin waste and wastewater), who would regulate this, and how they would be appointed, provided with authority or recompensed. Stating that campervan users would need to bring their own water and wastewater collection is not sufficient in itself to address this concern – someone needs to be identified to ensure that campervan owners are obeying these rules.</p> <p>3. Impacts on Environment and Wildlife: Sisters Beach is located in an environmentally sensitive area, including being surrounded by Rocky Cape National Park, and with the northern end of the beach and road running into the Park. Every visitor to Sisters Beach has the potential for significant environmental impacts. Mostly, existing users of Sisters Beach environment are those who live locally, or have beach houses locally, or who visit on a regular/periodic basis. This allows for a build-up local knowledge of how to use the local area. Drive-through visitors are less likely to either know of the local regulations for protecting the natural assets of Sisters Beach, or disregarding the local signage.</p> <p>One example is people in campervans bringing their pets with them when coming to Sisters Beach – with the likelihood of cats escaping into the surrounding National Park, and dogs being taken into areas where non-native animals are either restricted (e.g. outside of the areas and times designated for dogs on leashes) or banned (e.g. in the National Park area). In recent years there have been a few instances of dogs off leashes roaming in the village, and into the National Park, in a few instances resulting to damage to local wildlife. This has mostly been rectified by local resident dog owners being identified and visited by the relevant Council officer. This will be much less able to be regulated by occasional visitors bringing pets with them into Sisters Beach.</p> <p>Another example is people taking local wood and making fires on the beach, including in the National Park. This has only been a significant problem with visitors to Sisters Beach, and has been reduced in recent times by local residents being aware of when this is happening. Having additional visitors to Sisters Beach, unfamiliar with local regulations and practices, is likely to see an increase in these types of activities, including increased risk of fire in summer.</p> <p>A third example is the impact on wildlife of any increase in traffic on the road in and out of Sisters Beach, through the National Park. Already there is a heavy toll on wildlife through road kill. Local residents are planning action to try to reduce this (e.g. for the local Tasmanian Devil population). Any increase in traffic, especially by heavy vehicles, is likely to impact on wildlife on the roads. Currently there are no mechanisms in the public camping proposal to mitigate against this.</p> <p>4. Fire Protection in Summer: The area identified for camping is on the edge of the National Park, within the existing fire break. There needs to be consideration given to how these people and their campervans will be dealt with in the event of a high-intensity fire. The existing properties at Sisters Beach have some protection from fire, with surrounding fire breaks and access to on-site water and several avenues for escaping the fire. There is only one road in and out of Sisters Beach, through several kilometers of bushland coming right up to the road, so any high intensity fire is likely to increase the risk of injury or death for occupants campervans being allowed to camp on the edge of the park.</p> <p>5. Value to the Local Community: There is not likely to be any significant value to the Sisters Beach community of having this type of camping, especially when weighed against the types of issues this proposal raises, as outlined above. Most people in campervans bring their own food and other materials to the site, so there is likely to be limited value even to the local store. If conflicts do arise, it is likely that it will be Sisters Beach residents and other land-owners who will suffer as a result (as evidenced by previous experience of public campers at the car park).</p> <p>6. Public Camping and Tourism Alternatives: There needs to be development of designated areas for campervans along the North West Coast of Tasmania, that are clearly identified, well regulated,</p>

RESPONDENT #	Sisters Beach – Freedom Camping COMMENTS
	and with sufficient capacity to take increased campervan visitation, if increases in tourism are to be encouraged. Black River is one such site, but there needs to be more. Existing privately owned land at Boat Harbour is another option. Investment needs to be made into areas where this is feasible, able to be properly regulated, and safe. Waratah-Wynyard Council needs to invest in the development of such areas. The previous closure of the Boat Harbour campground is an example of the Council taking a very short-term approach to tourism facilities. The proposal for public camping at Sisters Beach feels like another short-term proposal, with very limited potential to address the real needs of campervan tourism, and to cost-shift some of the impacts of tourism development onto the local community. Sisters Beach is well set up to contribute to tourism development via the provision of houses for hire. Waratah-Wynyard Council has shown little interest in developing Sisters Beach as a site for house hire, either through promotion or actively engaging with the local community on how to optimize this tourism capability. Foisting inappropriate campervan visitations on Sisters Beach may well have the opposite effect of promoting tourism locally – it may tend to put local residents and house owners off the idea of Sisters Beach welcoming national and international tourists altogether.
R40	It's a big "No" from this long time resident of Sisters Beach. There are too many negatives out weighing the positives for this to occur. Why ban "Freedom Camping" at Boat Harbour and dump it down here at Sisters? It will not work!! - Anon
R41	I don't see a need to change things from how they are. I like it quiet here, it's why I love it and love here. Let's keep our peace of paradise as it is.
R42	I agree with camping as long as only self-contained vehicles. Have to be a charge to pay for supervision. Who will supervise?
R43	I am amenable to camping on the site as shown. Daytime supervision required! Some night time method of contact required if trouble or emergency arises.
R44	I would like to see public camping in the National Park along the eastern end of the beach, plenty of room if we could convince Parks to install enviro friendly loos. This would allow camping without impacting on local community. There are many National Park camping areas like this around Tasmania.
R45	I think that camping would be great in Sisters but not in behind fence next to treatment plant (maybe on strip next to Fire Station).
R46	I think it would be great to see camping return to Sisters Beach but in a controlled manner.
R47	I would like to see public camping in Sisters Beach. Free overnight stays are a good idea but so is a camp ground for people who want to stay longer and so some walks. There is room to share our scenery, flora and fauna.
R48	I think it's an opportunity that of managed well can benefit the community financially; allowing the community to invest in concepts such as community gardens and centre.
R49	I would like to see camping of this type at Sisters Beach but only under right conditions and properly managed. The past experiences at Boat Harbour, Sisters Beach Creek were unsatisfactory. I don't support the proffered site near sewerage plant, best area is northern side of Honeysuckle Avenue, near shop. They could operate and attract sales? If good camping cannot be done properly it would be best to leave and promote SB as a day use area.
R50	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No advantage to the community whatsoever 2. What is going to happen to traffic on the road in and out of Sisters Beach – Increased traffic – limited pullovers. 3. What happens if they have an accident, people can not get on or out of Sisters Beach 4. Isn't there something better that the Council can do for Sisters Beach?

For camping

33

= 66%

Against camping

17

= 34%